

MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1800 DIAGONAL ROAD, SUITE 370

ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314

JOHN R. MATTINGLY*
DANIEL J. STANGER
SHIRAZH MALUR*
CARL I. BRUNDIDGE*

DONNA K. MASON*

COLIN D. BARNITZ
GENE W. SYOCKMAN
OF COUNSEL

JEFFREY M. KETCHUM
Registered Patent Agent

* For Membership Other Than Virginia

PATENT, TRADEMARK
AND COPYRIGHT LAW
FACSIMILE: (703) 684-1157

(703) 684-1120

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN 30 2008

Date: January 30, 2008

Facsimile Number: 571-273-7408

To: Examiner M. Milia
Group Art Unit 2622, USPTO


From: Mr. John R. Mattingly
MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C.

Re: USSN 09/888,541
Attorney Docket No.: KYO-100

CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that the following listed documents are being facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below:

Appeal Brief;
Applicants Interview Summary Record;
Petition for Extension of Time; and
Credit Card Payment Form in amount of \$1,560.00
in payment of Appeal Brief and three month extension of time.


John R. Mattingly
Reg. No. 30,293

January 30, 2008
Date

Total Number of Pages (including cover sheet): 3

If the facsimile you receive is incomplete or illegible, please CALL (703) 684-1120. Thank you.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No. : 09/888,541 Confirmation No. 9359
Applicant : M. NAKAMAKI et al. RECEIVED
Filed : June 26, 2001 CENTRAL FAX CENTER
Title : PRINTER, PRINTER CONTROL METHOD, PROGRAM
THEREFOR, AND RECORDING MEDIUM STORING THE
PROGRAM
TC/AU : 2622
Examiner : M. Milia
Docket No. : KYO-100
Customer No.: 24956
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

JAN 30 2008

APPLICANTS' INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

January 30, 2008

Sir:

Applicants extend their appreciation to the Examiner for granting an Office interview on November 20, 2007, in which Supervisory Patent Examiner Twyler Haskins and the undersigned attorneys were present.

Claim 1 was discussed in the interview in relation to Koakutsu et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,285,459. In particular, it was explained that in claim 1 in a pre-processing section is configured to pre-read the data stored in the receiving buffer before the executing section reads the received data. When a specific control command of the control data from the pre-read receive data is found, the pre-processing section executes a procedure corresponding to


U.S. Application No. 09/888,541

the detected control command prior to the executing section. As explained, such a specific control command could be a print cancel command. If the cancel command is found, then the pre-processing section executes the cancel command prior to the executing section.

No agreement was reached in the interview.

Respectfully submitted,

MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C.


John R. Mattingly
Registration No. 30,293

JRM/sdb
(703) 684-1120